

AF
JFW**TRANSMITTAL
FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission	3	Application Number	10/083,165; Confirmation 7463
		Filing Date	2/26/2002
		First Named Inventor	Walter F. Rausch
		Art Unit	2682
		Examiner Name	Tuan A. Tran
		Attorney Docket Number	1604

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment / Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Reply Brief (3 pages).
Remarks It is believed that no fees are due in this matter. However, if it is determined that fees are due, the Commissioner is authorized to debit Deposit Account No. 210765 for the required fees.		


SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm	SETTER OLLILA LLC		
Signature			
Printed Name	Steven L. Webb		
Date	7/12/05	Reg. No.	44,395

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.			
Signature			
Typed or printed name	Laura S. Mellblom	Date	7-12-05

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



Settel ~~CHINA~~ LLC
2060 Broadway
Suite 300
Boulder, Colorado 80302

PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 1604

**IN THE
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Inventor(s): Walter F. Rausch

Serial No.: 10/083,165

Examiner: Tuan A. Tran

Filing Date: 02/26/2002

Group Art Unit: 2682

**Title: COMMUNICATION SYSTEM WITH TWO ANTENNAS AND TWO
RECEIVERS**

**MAILSTOP: Appeal Brief-Patents
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

REPLY BRIEF

INTRODUCTION

This is in response to the examiner answer mailed 6/6/2005.

RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

The examiner indicates that there was no statement on related appeals or interferences in the appeal brief. Applicant would like to bring to examiners attention the statement at the top of page 2 in the appeal brief indicating that "There are no related appeals or interferences".

RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S ANSWER

Claims 1 has been finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Publication 2002/0068612 (Carey et al.). Some of the requirements for claim 1 are listed below:

a first receiving antenna wherein a first coverage area of the first receiving antenna is less than forty five degrees;

a first receiver connected to the first receiving antenna and configured to receive second wireless signals via the first receiving antenna;

a second receiving antenna wherein a second coverage area of the second receiving antenna is less than forty five degrees and the second coverage area of the second receiving antenna is within the first coverage area;

Claim 1 requires that a second receiving antenna have a coverage area that is within the coverage area of a first receiving antenna (see figure 10).

In the examiner's answer, the examiner has stated that area 252 of Carey is the second coverage area of a second receiving antenna 280 (see figure 5 in Carey). The examiner then states that areas 152 and 352 make up the first coverage area from a first receiving antenna 180, 380. The examiner then goes on to state that area 252 is within the area enclosed by area 152 and 352.

The examiner's argument has a number of problems. First and foremost being that the examiner has used two different receiving antennas (antenna 180 and antenna 380) to create the "first receiving antenna" of claim 1. Figure 5 and the specification of Carey clearly show that receiving antenna 380 is separate from receiving antenna 180. Claim 1 requires "a first receiving antenna" that creates the first coverage area. The examiner has used two receiving antenna to create the first coverage area. Furthermore, if the examiner makes the claim that antenna 180 and 380 are the same antenna, then antenna 280 must also be a part of the same antenna and Carey would only have one antenna, not a first and second antenna as required by claim 1.

Conclusion

In view of the above, applicant respectfully request that the examiner's rejection of claims 1 – 26 be reversed.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 7/12/05



SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Steven L. Webb, Reg. No. 44,395

Setter Ollila LLC

Telephone: (303) 938-9999 ext. 22

Facsimile: (303) 938-9995

Correspondence address:

CUSTOMER NO. 28004

Attn: Harley R. Ball

6391 Sprint Parkway

Mailstop: KSOPHT0101-Z2100

Overland Park, KS 66251-2100